

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION	
Requestor Name and Address:	MFDR Tracking#: M4-06-6787-01
VISTA MEDICAL CENTER 4301 VISTA RD PASADENA TX 77504-2117	DWC Claim #:
	Injured Employee:
Respondent Name and Box #:	Date of Injury:
METROPOLITAN TRANSIT AUTHORITY Box #: 19	Employer Name:
	Insurance Carrier #:

PART II: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "The amount the Carrier paid Vista Medical Center for the services provided in this case is not fair and reasonable and therefore, not in compliance with the applicable statutes and regulations. Vista Medical Center Hospital charges fair and reasonable rates for its services. Specifically, these rates are based upon a comparison of charges to other carriers and the amount of reimbursement received for these same or similar services. The amount of reimbursement deemed to be fair and reasonable by Vista Medical Center Hospital is at a minimum, 70% of the billed charges. This is supported by the Focus managed care contract."

Amount in Dispute: \$16,485.31

PART III: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "The billing in dispute has been paid at a fair and reasonable rate in accordance with TWCC guidelines, policies and rules, and the Texas Labor Code. Carrier has determined that #2,465.49 represents an amount greater than or equal to the fair and reasonable reimbursement for this service. The provider must therefore prove that the reimbursement received is not fair and reasonable... Because Requestor has failed to prove that the reimbursement received is not fair and reasonable, Requestor is not entitled to further reimbursement."

PART IV: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Date(s) of Service	Denial Code(s)	Disputed Service	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
7/20/2005	W10, 97, W4	Outpatient Surgery	\$16,485.31	\$0.00
			Total Due:	\$0.00

PART V: REVIEW OF SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY AND EXPLANATION

Texas Labor Code §413.011(a-d), titled *Reimbursement Policies and Guidelines*, and Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1, titled *Use of the Fee Guidelines*, effective May 16, 2002 set out the reimbursement guidelines.

This request for medical fee dispute resolution was received by the Division on June 23, 2006. Pursuant to Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, the Division notified the requestor on July 6, 2006 to send additional documentation relevant to the fee dispute as set forth in the rule.

- 1. For the services involved in this dispute, the respondent reduced or denied payment with reason code:
 - W10 no explanation noted on the EOB
 - 97 no explanation noted on the EOB
 - W4 "No additional reimbursement allowed after review of appeal/reconsideration."
- 2. This dispute relates to outpatient surgical services provided in a hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Division rule at 28 TAC §134.1, effective May 16, 2002, 27 TexReg 4047, which requires that "Reimbursement for services not identified in an established fee guideline shall be reimbursed at fair and reasonable

rates as described in the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, §413.011 until such period that specific fee guidelines are established by the commission."

- 3. Texas Labor Code §413.011(d) requires that fee guidelines must be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. The guidelines may not provide for payment of a fee in excess of the fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living and paid by that individual or by someone acting on that individual's behalf. It further requires that the Division consider the increased security of payment afforded by the Act in establishing the fee guidelines.
- 4. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(D), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, requires the requestor to provide "documentation that discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement." Review of the submitted documentation finds that:
 - The requestor's position statement asserts that "The amount of reimbursement deemed to be fair and reasonable by Vista Medical Center Hospital is at a minimum, 70% of the billed charges. This is supported by the Focus managed care contract. This managed care contract exhibits that Vista Medical Center Hospital is requesting reimbursement that is designed to ensure quality medical care is provided and to achieve effective medical cost control. It also shows numerous Insurance Carriers' willingness to provide 70% reimbursement for Out-Patient Hospital setting medical services. As a result, the reimbursement requested by Vista Medical Center Hospital is not in excess of the fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living and paid by that individual or by someone acting on that individual's behalf, as evidenced by the managed care contract."
 - The requestor has provided select exhibit pages from the alleged managed care contract referenced above, however a copy of the contract referenced in the position statement was not presented for review with this dispute.
 - Review of the exhibit pages submitted by the carrier finds that the fee schedule page (labeled exhibit A) dated
 effective August 1, 1992 states, in part, that the provider shall receive "an amount equal to eighty percent (80%) of
 the Usual and Reasonable Charge for those Covered Services." It goes on to define the Usual and Reasonable
 Charge as "equal to the lesser of: (i) the actual charges billed by HCP for such services; or (ii) the eightieth (80th)
 percentile for charges for such services as set forth in the current Medical Data Research database."
 - No data or information was submitted from the Medical Data Research database to support the requested reimbursement.
 - The requestor also presented a separate schedule of charges (also labeled exhibt "A") dated 04/23/92. The relevant portions of this document were not legible. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(e)(2) requires that "Each copy of the request shall be legible." The Division finds that the requestor has not met the requirements of 28 TAC §133.307(e)(2).
 - No evidence was presented by the requestor to support that the referenced contract was in effect at the time of the disputed services.
 - The requestor's position statement further asserts that "amounts paid to healthcare providers by third party payers are relevant to determining fair and reasonable workers' compensation reimbursement. Further, the Division stated specifically that managed care contracts fulfill the requirements of Texas Labor Code § 413.011 as they are 'relevant to what fair and reasonable reimbursement is,' 'they are relevant to achieving cost control,' 'they are relevant to ensuring access to quality care,' and they are 'highly reliable.' See 22 TexReg 6272. Finally, managed care contracts were determined by the Division to be the best indication of a market price voluntarily negotiated for medical services."
 - While managed care contracts are relevant to determining a fair and reasonable reimbursement, the Division has
 previously found that a reimbursement methodology based upon payment of a percentage of a hospital's billed
 charges does not produce an acceptable payment amount. This methodology was considered and rejected by the
 Division in the adoption preamble to the Division's former Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline, which states
 at 22 Texas Register 6276 (July 4, 1997) that:
 - "A discount from billed charges was another method of reimbursement which was considered. Again, this method was found unacceptable because it leaves the ultimate reimbursement in the control of the hospital, thus defeating the statutory objective of effective cost control and the statutory standard not to pay more than for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living. It also provides no incentive to contain medical costs, would be administratively burdensome for the Commission and system participants, and would require additional Commission resources."

Therefore, the use of a hospital's billed charges, or a percentage of billed charges, to determine a reimbursement amount cannot be favorably considered when no other data or documentation was submitted to support that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services in dispute.

In support of the requested reimbursement, the requestor submitted redacted explanations of benefits, and selected
portions of EOBs, from various sample insurance carriers. However, the requestor did not discuss or explain how
the sample EOBs support the requestor's position that additional payment is due. Review of the submitted
documentation finds that the requestor did not establish that the sample EOBs are for services that are substantially

similar to the services in dispute. The carriers' reimbursement methodologies are not described on the EOBs. Nor did the requestor explain or discuss the sample carriers' methodologies or how the payment amount was determined for each sample EOB. The requestor did not discuss whether such payment was typical for such services or for the services in dispute.

- The requestor did not discuss or explain how payment of \$16,485.31 would result in a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services in this dispute.
- The requestor did not submit documentation to support that payment of \$16,485.31 is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in this dispute.
- The requestor did not support that payment of the requested amount would satisfy the requirements of Division rule at 28 TAC §134.1.

The request for additional reimbursement is not supported. Thorough review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not demonstrated or justified that payment of the amount sought would be a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in dispute. Additional payment cannot be recommended.

5. The Division would like to emphasize that individual medical fee dispute outcomes rely upon the evidence presented by the requestor and respondent during dispute resolution, and the thorough review and consideration of that evidence. After thorough review and consideration of all the evidence presented by the parties to this dispute, it is determined that the submitted documentation does not support the reimbursement amount sought by the requestor. The Division concludes that this dispute was not filed in the form and manner prescribed under Division rules at 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(g)(3)(D) and §133.307(e)(2). The Division further concludes that the requestor failed to support its position that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

PART VI: GENERAL PAYMENT POLICIES/REFERENCES

Texas Labor Code §413.011(a-d), §413.031 and §413.0311 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, §134.1 Texas Government Code, Chapter 2001, Subchapter G

PART VII: DIVISION DECISION

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is not entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute.

DECISION:		
	Grayson Richardson	2/18/2011
Authorized Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date
Authorized Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Manager	Date

PART VIII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST AN APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to request an appeal. A request for hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20** (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with other required information specified in Division rule at 28 TAC §148.3(c).

Under Texas Labor Code §413.0311, your appeal will be handled by a Division hearing under Title 28 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 142 Rules if the total amount sought does not exceed \$2,000. If the total amount sought exceeds \$2,000, a hearing will be conducted by the State Office of Administrative Hearings under Texas Labor Code §413.031.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.